

A REVIEW ON OPTIMIZATION IN OPERATIONS AND SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT IN INDUSTRIAL ENVIRONMENTS USING MATHEMETICAL TOOL

Dr. Brajesh Kumar¹

¹Lecturer, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Govt. Polytechnic, Gaya, Bihar

Abstract - Theory and techniques for improving the handling of different functional issues were implemented in several fields. In view of advancement in computing systems, optimization technologies in different engineering applications have become increasingly relevant and common. Effective management of networks of the supply chain is difficult and a difficulty which is attributed to a high degree of supply-demand ambiguity, dispute priorities, knowledge vagueness, multiple decision variables and constraints. With such a degree of environmental complexity, the optimization of the supply chain has the potential to make an important contribution. Within this report, a literature analysis of innovative optimisation methods in the sense of supply chain management is discussed, based on peer-reviewed publications. It also offers a solution techniques classification.

Keywords: Mathematical Modelling, Supply, Flexibility, Measures, Management, Links.

I. INTRODUCTION

SCM combines market ties into one element: initial supplier-to-end consumer to satisfy customer needs. Supply Chain Management (SCM) Today, the rivalry from the individual business was moved to the supply chain dimension in order to better manage the supply chain uncertainties. Such decisions are therefore far from ideal in today's competitive environment, culminating in a deteriorating efficiency. Proper collection of vendors, delivery points, supplies and services are a few instances of daunting challenges for supply chain executives at different stages.



Figure 1. Supply chain cycle.

Our business world becomes growing volatile and fragile. Historical data indicate that the total amount of natural and man-made incidents has increased dramatically over the last 10 years. This covers militant bombings, battles, floods, global disasters, accidents, computer virus attacks, etc. (see figures from www.cred.be and Choi etc. 2016, for example). In existing supply chain uncertainty, for example, spontaneous results, variations of lead time, stochastic demands, inventory efficiency, fluctuating prices and floating currency, may contribute to devastating economic consequences apart from the above unforeseen disasters.

Adaptive behaviour is described as "the process for evaluating and integrating the existing condition of its external environment in its decisions on future actions in order to ensure the consistency of its independence and reasoning capacity." If there are fluctuations in terms of demand and supply capability in the supply chain, the process will provide the supplier and the buyer with elasticity for modification of the final quantity supplied and output volume. Consequently, this versatility increases production and cost effectiveness.

The ideals of sustainable development have spread across science literature, as already stated, among major SCM trends. Current work primarily includes evaluating SCM policies in a triple light, including fiscal, environmental and social responsibility aspects. Both qualitative and quantitative fields Sustainable SCM has been the topic of several study articles. A variety of study articles have been written over the last several years concerned with significant developments and analysis gaps over supply chain management. Sustainable SCND work has, of course, barely ever been investigated.

Consequently, it has a major environmental and social effect. The purpose of the paper is to overcome the gap. More precisely, we are aimed at exploring SCND issues that require a simple assessment of at least two of the three facets of sustainable development: cultural, financial and financial facets responsibility towards culture. We study articles comprising statistical equations of binary decision variables that model the collection of candidates' facilities (linear and nonlinear systems of integer or mixed-function variables).



II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Within this portion, we analyse the main features of sustainable supply chain architecture mathematical models. Such issues lead to a broad range of versions. Not only does the varied industrial environments (single or multiple cycles, individual or multiple goods, logistics network structures) explain this, but also problems of modelling: single or multiple targets, determinist or unknown results.

Binary variables in position of installations, size decisions, and selection of the correct technical level and choice of modes of transportation between installations constitute the major decision variables in the models. As commodity movements are usually constant throughout the supply chain constriction: SCND simulation is always a linear or non-linear mixed-integer formulation. Some stochastic models often demonstrate that complexities including the degree of demand may be taken into account.

There are two areas of the section. The models with a single objective feature are discussed in section 2.1. This goal may be economic or environmental, but never social. Section 2.2 then describes multi-target models. Both parts are split into two articles, each of which explains deterministic and stochastic structures.

2.1 Models with Single Objective

The easiest way in which environmental concerns can be incorporated into classic SCND models is to express the objective function as a weighted mean of all objective functions. This implies the non-homogenous indicators will become a common calculation by utilizing translation factors.

For e.g., if a volume of GHG pollution will represent the whole environmental effect, environmental impact will then be translated into the equivalent of monetary interest by utilizing conversion factors. Then a common target may be applied to the monetized environmental harm and to the economic aim.

2.1.1 Deterministic models

As stated, the key economic target is regarded by some writers and its environmental aspect is defined by limitations. Such restrictions can reflect maximum allowed emissions of GHGs. **Elia et al. [2011]** seeks, for instance, to reduce production, procurement and transport costs of installations. For particular, **Elia et al.** By imposing a total GHG emission target level for each hybrid coal, biomass and natural liquid gas plant the authors introducing an environmental limit. **Papapostolou et al. [2011]** consider a solely empirical economic role. Environmental regulations restricting the usage of land and resources are used in their linear model.

Many authors blend in the target feature economic and environmental parameters. The objective role in **Elhedhlicand Merrick [2012]** involves two environmental cost terms and three logistics cost terms.

The objective is **Abdallah et al. [2012]** and **Kannan et al. [2012]**.

The role is an extended time for a number of various logistics costs linked to carbon dioxide pollution over the government's allotted level.

Lira-Barragan et al. [2011] reduce a new industrial plant's overall annual expense, which affects water quality in an area's watershed. The goal function requires the expense of wastewater treatment when the consistency of the water is reduced. **Mallidis et al. [2012]** offers a model with many cost-related target features and CO₂ or particle (fine dust) pollution. Each objective is considered individually, and the model is resolved.

Note that **Krikke [2011]** proposes a linear version of mixed integer programming: The binary position variables are prefixed, which implies that each example includes one linear system. The theoretical background contributes to non-linear model formulation in several of the guide articles. The MINLP model suggested by **Costi et al [2004]** for identifying solid waste treatment plants. The goal purpose is built as restrictive in relation to economic costs and environmental considerations.

The variables of binary decision relate to the existence of facilities. The material flows between facilities using continuous variables. Non-linearity is attributed to the aggregation of constant variables. **Corsano et al. [2011]** concurrently find the construction of ethanol plants and the supply chain. The nonlinearity of ethanol plant design models stems from certain non-convex limitations.

2.1.2 Stochastic models

Sustainable SCND models are defined as having a long-term effect on the architectures of a company's logistics network. Therefore the analysis of the problem is realistic to expect uncertainties. This is especially true with regard to customer demand uncertainties within a strategic programming horizon. Factors other than transport costs or the volumes or emissions of waste or of products generated or returned are also known as uncertain parameters. In addition, the data currently available for strategic decisions are usually aggregated and lack accuracy with a reduction in the time horizon.

Snyder [2004] is planning a report on the use of product components in place models. However, only two examples for sustainable SCND issues have been identified for single objective stochastic models. In planning a bioethanol supply chain, **Giarola et al. [2012]** suggests a MILP that treats energy and biomass costs as uncertain parameters. A two-stage stochastic programming methodology is utilized to solve this complexity. **Verma et al. [2013]** introduce a two-stage stochastic programming approach to both the location and storage of emergency response equipment

Facilities associated with the possible southern burden of Newfoundland's oil spill crises in Canada. Their model consists of two variants which match linear and non-linear formulation of the acquisition costs of equipment.



2.2 Multi-objective models

2.2.1 Deterministic models

In practice, most sustainable SCND models are bi-objective linear models. Many authors see the economic objective as the traditional objective function, whereas the environmental or social objectives are considered as extensions of the traditional single objective models. A frequent modeling approach is to consider one economic objective and one environmental objective such as minimizing GHG emissions.

In view of an external environmental target, **Amin and Zhang [2013]** expand their mono-objective paradigm. **Berger et al. [1999]** propose an extensive multi-period MILP for strategic planning and tactical planning of an integrated regional solid waste management plan in the field of domestic waste treatment. The concept includes different kinds of processing techniques and dump sites as well as recycling options on the markets. Several environmental and predictor parameters may be used.

The two objectives model for the design of a supplies chain of aluminum, proposed by **Chaabane et al. [2012]**. The economic objective includes a carbon credit component, while the second aim is to minimize emissions of GHGs. Tactical problems including stock control assessments are also taken into consideration in the layout.

Akgul et al. [2012] propose a multi-period, multi-product MILP model for the optimization of a biofuel supply chain regarding cost and environmental issues. All stages of the biofuel life-cycle, such as cultivation, transportation and production, are integrated into the proposed model.

The project **Govindan et al. [2013]** discusses a two-echelon, multi-vehicle-routing question with time frames for designing a reliable food supply chain network. They suggest a deterministic model that aims to reduce both fixed and variable costs to a minimum and to mitigate environmental effects of opening production, delivery and pollution from shipping within installations as part of the environment objective.

Very few versions have over three main functions. **Erkut et al. [2008]** develop a model of multicriteria facilities for municipal solid waste management in Northern Greece at the regional level. Its MILP model contains 5 aim functions: 1 for the total average expense for the installation and flows, and 4 for environmental impacts (GHC results, landfills, electricity and materials recovery). A model approach comprises of transfer station sites and equipment, resource storage facilities, waste incinerators and wastewater waste management systems as well as waste disposal facilities.

There are only a few non-linear bi-objective models. One of the goals of **Beheshtifar and Alimoahmadi [2014]** is to restrict normal distances from the place of demand to the facilities opened. As a product of economies of scale, non-linear CO₂ emissions from transport was

found in **Zhang et al. [2013]**. The models can be linearized in the last five references of the table. The Charnes-Cooper transition and Glover's linearization linearization of **Yue et al. [2014]** and **Yue et al. [2013]**. The authors analyse the effects of their model formulations in linear and non-linear terms.

2.2.2 Stochastic models

SCND problems are subject to uncertainty, like many supply chain management concerns. Many different sources of uncertainty may be found in closed loop supply chains, such as the level of demand or the number of products returned. Uncertainty may affect the outputs as well and rely on the process performance. The level of GHG emissions is an example of this. There are many inconsistencies in the life-cycle inventory, **Guillen-Gos'albez and Grossmann [2009]** have suggested, but many LCA procedures presume minimal values of the data.

Those authors however refer to three main sources of confusion concerning the methods of the Eco-Indicator 99: organizational or data ambiguity, but also structural inconsistencies, or formulas, and uncertainty regarding model completeness. When correctly treated, the design of a supply chain will be affected by uncertainty. The number and size of output and transport installations rely directly on the mean values but also on the possible variation of the data input. The estimation of supply chain costs, GHG emissions etc. will also be impacted by instatement.

The first objective of the **Pishvae et al. [2012]** minimizes the total logistical cost and the four social and environmental effects are combined with the second objective. **Amin and Zhang [2013]** extend their model by considering uncertain demand and the quantity of products returned. They use a stochastic programming approach focused on scenarios. The effects of demand uncertainty on supply chain economic and environment performance are studied by **Ruiz-Femenia et al. [2013]**. Their model seeks to maximize the benefit that is anticipated and to minimize the chance to surpass a certain cap for environmental factors.

In order to maximize the current net profit and reduce the environmental impact of the chemical supply chains, **Guillen-Gos'albez and Grossmann [2009]** propose MINLP models that are ambiguous regarding pollution amounts and feedstock specifications. In **Guillen-Gos'albez, [2010]**, the harm factors meaning is assumed to be an undefined parameter for the intent of implementing an occasional restriction model.

Mohammadi et al. [2014] are introducing a novel version of the Sustainable System Settings Paradigm known as the SHLP, which integrates two additional environmental expense features linked to air and noise emissions in vehicles, and which contribute to carburization.

Fuzzy Set Theory **Zadeh [1978]** provides an important method for the analysis of data inaccuracies. It is used



where historical records are inadequate to approximate the distributional probabilities of unknown parameters. **Pishvae and Razmi [2012]**, **Pishvae et al. [2012]** are selected for this method.

Pinto-Varela et al. [2011] pattern two case studies of many goods and times in Portuguese industry. Their methodology incorporates a dynamic simulation to show the balance between the economic and environmental goals. Stochastic as it was in **Guill'en-Gos'albez and Grossmann [2009]**. The paradigm is turned into a deterministic to promote its implementation

III. CONCLUSIONS

In short, a large variety of modeling approaches were used to tackle sustainable SCND problems, often MIPs for linear or non-linear problems. Sometimes, the simulation of non-linear manufacturing systems ends in non-linearity. In certain models, the economic and environmental or even social influences converge to create one common goal. However, most frameworks specifically take into consideration two to three separate (or even more) goals that are appropriate for the various aspects of sustainable growth. Because social impacts may be challenging to calculate, they are often specifically not discussed as statistical templates, rather as intermediate steps in identifying situations or in determining approaches after optimisation.

REFERENCES

- [1]. J. Elia, R. Baliban, X. Xiao, and C. Floudas. Optimal energy supply network determination and life cycle analysis for hybrid coal, biomass, and natural gas to liquid (CBGTL) plants using carbon-based hydrogen production. *Computers & Chemical Engineering*, 35(8):1399–1430, 2011.
- [2]. C. Papapostolou, E. Kondili, and J. Kaldellis. Development and implementation of an optimisation model for biofuels supply chain. *Energy*, 36(10):6019–6026, 2011.
- [3]. S. Elhedhli and R. Merrick. Green supply chain network design to reduce carbon emissions. *Transportation Research Part D: Transport and Environment*, 17(5):370–379, 2012.
- [4]. T. Abdallah, A. Diabat, and J. Rigter. Investigating the option of installing small scale PVs on facility rooftops in a green supply chain. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 146(2):465–477, 2013.
- [5]. D. Kannan, A. Diabat, M. Alrefaei, K. Govindan, and G. Yong. A carbon footprint based reverse logistics network design model. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, 67(0):75–79, 2012.
- [6]. L. F. Lira-Barrag'an, J. M. Ponce-Ortega, M. Serna-Gonz'alez, and M. M. El-Halwagi. An MINLP model for the optimal location of a new industrial plant with simultaneous consideration of economic and environmental criteria. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*, 50(2):953–964, 2011.
- [7]. I. Mallidis, R. Dekker, and D. Vlachos. The impact of greening on supply chain design and cost: a case for a developing region. *Journal of Transport Geography*, 22:118–128, 2012.
- [8]. H. Krikke. Impact of closed-loop network configurations on carbon footprints: A case study in copiers. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, 55(12):1196–1205, 2011.
- [9]. P. Costi, R. Minciardi, M. Robba, M. Rovatti, and R. Sacile. An environmentally sustainable decision model for urban solid waste management. *Waste Management*, 24(3):277–295, 2004.
- [10]. G. Corsano, A. Vecchiotti, and J. Montagna. Optimal design for sustainable bioethanol supply chain considering detailed plant performance model. *Computers & Chemical Engineering*, 35(8, SI):1384–1398, 2011.
- [11]. S. Giarola, A. Zamboni, and F. Bezzo. Environmentally conscious capacity planning and technology selection for bioethanol supply chains. *Renewable Energy*, 43:61–72, 2012.